UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/509,377 | 08/28/2000 | Sergey Matasov | | 9553 |
| Sergey Matasov | 7590 02/29/200 / | 8 | EXAM | IINER |
| Ranka Dambis | | LEUBECKER, JOHN P | | |
| Riga, LV1048 LATVIA | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3739 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/29/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 09/509,377 | MATASOV, SERGEY | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| John P. Leubecker | 3739 | | |

| | John P. Leubecker | 3739 | |
|---|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>09 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth intermediate than SIX MONTHS from the mailing op. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejection | n. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extraorder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | sideration and/or search (see NOT | | cause |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims. | | | ne issues for |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11 | | otod oldiirio. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | 1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | · | • | _ |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | l be entered and an ex | planation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4,5,10-13,15,17,18,21 and 22</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fails | s to provide a |
| 10. | of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>. | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | /John P. Leubecker/ Primary Examiner, AU 3 | 739 | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 1, 12, 17 and 18, which would be the only claims pending if the amendment is entered, are significantly changed with respect to the last version of claims (August 5, 2005). For example, proposed claim 1 is substantially broader in scope and raises the issue of anticipation and obviousness in view of other prior art references, such as Bob et al. (U.S. Pat 5,259,364). See version of claims filed July 2, 2002 and the Final Rejection filed November 20, 2002. In addition, there are limitations in claims 12, 17 and 18 that were not in the previous version of claims and thus were not addressed in the Final Office Action filed February 12, 2007.

Continuation of 13. Other: Upon appeal, the amendments to the specification will be entered .